arXiv:hep-th/9803220v1 26 Mar 1998

AIV - 98/II

Near Anti-de Sitter Geometry and Corrections to the Large N Wilson Loop

Anastasia Volovich

Department for Theoretical Physics, Moscow State University, 119899;
L. D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kosygina, 2, 117940;
Moscow, Russia
e-mail: nastya@itp.ac.ru

Abstract

Within recent Maldacena's proposal to relate gauge theories in the large N limit to the supergravity in the AdS background and recipe for calculation the Wilson loop, we compute corrections to the energy of quark/anti-quark pair in the large N limit.

Recently, Maldacena has put forward a remarkable proposal [1] relating the gauge theories in the large N limit to the supergravity in the anti-de Sitter background times some compact manifold. This conjecture was further studied in a large number of papers [2]-[44] appeared lately. A precise recipe for computing CFT observables in terms of AdS space was suggested in [9, 11]. It was proposed that the correlation functions in CFT are given by the dependence of the supergravity action on the asymptotic behavior at the boundary.

In [16],[17] it was suggested that in order to compute the vacuum expectation value of the Wilson loop operator

$$W(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{1}{N} Tr P \exp i \int_{\mathcal{C}} A_\mu dx^\mu, \tag{1}$$

one has to consider a string theory partition function on a certain background with the worldsheet of the string ending on the contour on the boundary of AdS. In particular, it was shown that the energy of the quark-antiquark pair for 4d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM exhibits a Coulomb like behavior.

In this note we will consider corrections to the energy of the quark/anti-quark pair. As we know in QCD the leading term in the 1/N expansion describes the spectrum of free mesons and the first 1/N corrections describe the interaction of mesons [45].

The main example of [1] is the consideration of 4d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM which is conjectually dual to IIB superstring in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background. Let us start with the supergravity solution describing N parallel D3-branes in IIB theory:

$$ds^2 = f^{-1/2}(dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2) + f^{1/2}(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2), \tag{2}$$

where

$$f = 1 + \frac{4\pi g N \alpha'^2}{r^4}. \tag{3}$$

If one defines the new variable $U = \frac{r}{\alpha'}$ and takes $\alpha' \to 0$ limit, keeping U fixed, then neglecting 1 in the harmonic function, (2) describes $AdS_5 \times S^5$ [1]. Let us take into account the first $1/N$ corrections to this, then the near anti-de Sitter metric can be written as follows

$$ds^2 = \alpha'[\frac{U^2}{R^2}(1 - \frac{\alpha'^2 U^4}{2R^4})(dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2) + R^2(1 + \frac{\alpha'^2 U^4}{2R^4})(\frac{dU^2}{U^2} + d\Omega_5^2)], \tag{4}$$

where $R = (4\pi g N)^{1/4}$ corresponds to the radius of the AdS_5 and $g \sim g_{YM}^2$.

The proposal for computation the vacuum expectation values of the Wilson loop operators (1) made in [17] is that

$$< W(\mathcal{C}) > \sim exp(-S) \tag{5}$$

where S is the area of a string worldsheet which at the boundary of AdS describes the loop $\mathcal{C}$, from which one has to subtract the contribution of the mass of the W-boson,

so that it is finite. It was shown that the energy of quark and anti-quark pair is inverse proportional to the distance between them. In the case of finite temperature one has to consider the near-extremal solution, which was discussed in [35]-[37].

Let us calculate the energy of the quark/anti-quark pair taking into account the α'^2 corrections, corresponding to the rectangular Wilson loop $L \times T$, where L is the distance between quark and antiquark and T is large. We as in [17] have to start with the ordinary Nambu-Goto action for the string

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int dt d\sigma \sqrt{det(G_{MN}\partial_\alpha X^M \partial_\beta X^N)}, \tag{6}$$

where G_{MN} is the background (4). Under the embedding

$$X^1 = t, \quad X^2 = x, \quad X^5 = U(x), \tag{7}$$

the action takes the form:

$$S = \frac{T}{2\pi} \int dx \sqrt{(\partial_x U)^2 + \frac{U^4}{R^4}(1 - \alpha'^2 \frac{U^4}{R^4})}. \tag{8}$$

Assumption that the correction

$$\alpha'^2 \frac{U^4}{R^4} << 1 \tag{9}$$

is small will lead us to a cutoff, $U << U_{max}$. The first integral for such an action is

$$\frac{\frac{U^4}{R^4}(1 - \alpha'^2 \frac{U^4}{R^4})}{\sqrt{(\partial_x U)^2 + \frac{U^4}{R^4} - \alpha'^2 \frac{U^8}{R^8}}} = \frac{U_0^2}{R^2} \sqrt{1 - \alpha'^2 \frac{U_0^4}{R^4}}, \tag{10}$$

where U_0 is the minimum value of U. Now as in [17] we first have to determine the dependence of U_0 from L and then calculate $E(L)$. From (10) we get

$$\frac{LU_0}{2R^2} = \sqrt{1 - \lambda^4} \int_1^{\nu/\lambda} \frac{dy}{y^2 \sqrt{(1 - \lambda^4 y^4)(y^4 - 1)(1 - \lambda^4(y^4 + 1))}}, \tag{11}$$

where

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\alpha'} U_0 / R. \tag{12}$$

and ν is a number defined by the cut-off U_{max}. One has here a natural cutoff $U_{max} = R/\sqrt{\alpha'} - U_0 \approx R/\sqrt{\alpha'}$, or $\nu < 1 - \lambda^4/4$. which comes from the requirement that the expression under the square root is positive.

The dependence $E(U_0)$ derived from (8) is

$$E(U_0) = \frac{U_0}{2\pi} \int_1^{\nu/\lambda} \frac{y^2 \sqrt{1 - \lambda^4 y^4} dy}{\sqrt{(y^4 - 1)(1 - \lambda^4(y^4 + 1))}}. \tag{13}$$

For small λ from (11) we get

$$\frac{LU_0}{R^2} = 2A + \lambda^4 C, \tag{14}$$

where

$$A = \int_1^\infty \frac{dy}{y^2\sqrt{y^4-1}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi^{3/2}}{\Gamma(1/4)^2}, \tag{15}$$

and C is a constant, which could be determined by analyzing the asymptotic behavior of the elliptic integrals.

Performing the renormalization in the expression for the energy as in [17] and noting that the expression (13) includes the cutoff we get

$$E(U_0) = U_0(c_0 + \lambda^4 c_1) \tag{16}$$

where c_0 and c_1 are constants, determined from (13). Therefore we get

$$E(L) = 2Ac_0 \cdot \frac{R^2}{L} + (32c_1A^5 + 16A^4c_0) \cdot \frac{\alpha'^2R^6}{L^5}. \tag{17}$$

Let us make a remark conserning the behavior of the string in the exact 3-brane solution (2). The action (6) takes the form:

$$S = \frac{T}{2\pi}\int dx\sqrt{(\partial_x U)^2 + V(U)} \tag{18}$$

where

$$V(U) = \frac{U^4}{R^4 + \alpha'^2U^4}. \tag{19}$$

The distance quark/anti-quark and the energy of the configuration are defined by relations

$$\frac{L}{2} = \sqrt{V(U_0)}\int_{U_0}^{U_{max}} \frac{dU}{\sqrt{V(U)\cdot(V(U)-V(U_0))}}, \tag{20}$$

$$E = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{U_0}^{U_{max}} \frac{\sqrt{V(U)}dU}{\sqrt{V(U)-V(U_0)}}. \tag{21}$$

For potential (19) one has

$$\frac{LU_0}{R^2} = 2A + \frac{2\alpha'^2U_0^4}{R^4}B, \tag{22}$$

$$E = \frac{U_0}{2\pi}\sqrt{1+\frac{\alpha'^2U_0^4}{R^4}}B, \tag{23}$$

where

$$A = \int_1^{U_{max}/U_0} \frac{dy}{y^2\sqrt{y^4-1}}, \tag{24}$$

$$B = \int_1^{U_{max}/U_0} \frac{y^2dy}{\sqrt{y^4-1}}, \tag{25}$$

We assume that the constant B is renormalized as in [17]. From (22) and (23) one gets an expression for the energy for large L:

$$E = \frac{R^2 AB}{\pi L}[1 + \frac{\alpha'^2 R^4}{L^4} 8A^3(A + 2B)] \tag{26}$$

If one assumes that for integrals (24) and (25) an N-dependence cutoff is made so that

$$A = \frac{a}{R^2}, \qquad B = bR^2, \tag{27}$$

where a and b are N-independent, then one gets

$$E = \frac{abR^2}{\pi L}[1 + \frac{\alpha'^2}{L^4}(\frac{8a^4}{R^4} + 8a^3 b)] \tag{28}$$

The first and the third terms can be interpreted as an interaction of quarks and the second term as an interaction between mesons.

I would like to thank the ICTP in Trieste and the organizers of the Spring School on Non Perturbative Aspects of String Theory and Supersymmetric Gauge Theories for the kind hospitality while this work was done and for financial support.

References

[1] J. Maldacena, hep-th/9711200.

[2] K. Sfetsos and K. Skenderis, hep-th/9711138.

[3] S. Ferrara and C. Fronsdal, hep-th/9712239; hep-th/9802126.

[4] R. Kallosh, J. Kumar and A. Rajaraman, hep-th/9712073.

[5] H.J.Boonstra, B.Peeters and K. Skenderis, hep-th/9801076.

[6] P. Claus, R. Kallosh, J. Kumar, P. Townsend, and A. van Proeyen, hep-th/9801206.

[7] N. Itzhaki, J. M. Maldacena, J. Sonnenschein, and S. Yankielowicz, hep-th/9802042.

[8] M. Gunaydin and D. Minic, hep-th/9802047.

[9] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polyakov, hep-th/9802109.

[10] G. T. Horowitz and H. Ooguri, hep-th/9802116.

[11] E. Witten, hep-th/9802150.

[12] S. Kachru and E. Silverstein, hep-th/9802183

[13] M. Berkooz, hep-th/9802195.

[14] V. Balasubramanian and F. Larsen, hep-th/9802198

[15] S. Ferrara, C. Fronsdal and A. Zaffaroni, hep-th/9802203.

[16] S.-J. Rey and J. Yee, hep-th/9803001.

[17] J. Maldacena, hep-th/9803002.

[18] M. Flato and C. Fronsdal, hep-th/9803013.

[19] A. Lawrence, N. Nekrasov and C. Vafa, hep-th/9803015.

[20] S.S. Gubser, A. Hashimoto, I.R. Klebanov and M. Krasnitz, hep-th/9803023.

[21] I. Ya. Aref'eva and I. V. Volovich, hep-th/9803028.

[22] L. Castellani, A. Ceresole, R. D'Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Fré and M. Trigiante, hep-th/9803039.

[23] O. Aharony, Y. Oz and Z. Yin, hep-th/9803051.

[24] S. Minwalla, hep-th/9803053.

[25] M.J.Duff, H.Lu, C.N.Pope, hep-th/9803061.

[26] R. G. Leigh and M. Rozali, hep-th/9803068.

[27] M. Bershadsky, Z. Kakushadze, and C. Vafa, hep-th/9803076.

[28] E. Halyo hep-th/9803077.

[29] A. Rajaraman, hep-th/9803082.

[30] G.T. Horowitz and S.F. Ross, hep-th/9803085.

[31] E. Bergshoeff, K. Behrndt hep-th/9803090.

[32] S. Ferrara, A. Kehagias, H. Partouche and A. Zaffaroni, hep-th/9803109.

[33] J. A. Minahan, hep-th/9803111.

[34] J. Gomis, hep-th/9803119.

[35] E. Witten, hep-th/9803131.

[36] S.J. Rey, S. Theisen and J.T. Yee, hep-th/9803135.

[37] A. Brandhuber, N. Itzhaki, J. Sonnenschein and S. Yankielowicz, hep-th/9803137.

[38] M. Gunaydin, hep-th/9803138.

[39] Y.Oz and J.Terning, hep-th/9803167.

[40] L. Andrianopoli, S. Ferrara, hep-th/9803171.

[41] I. V. Volovich, hep-th/9803174.

[42] E. Halyo, hep-th/9803193.

[43] B.Brandt, J.Gomis, J. Simon, hep-th/9803196.

[44] Z. Kukushadze, hep-th/9803214.

[45] E.Witten, Nucl.Phys.B160 (1979)57; B223 (1983)433.